MAY -6 2025

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT		OAKLAND DIVISION			
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA United States of America,)	Case No. 4: 25 - MJ-10	526-MAG	
	Plaintiff, v.)))	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUNDER THE SPEEDY TRIA	LUDING TIME	
Yerry f	Defendant(s).)			
For the reason Trial Act from continuance of	s stated by the parties on the rest of the utweigh the best interest of the	e public and th	and finds that the ends of justice defendant in a speedy trial. So s continuance on the following	ee 18 U.S.C. §	
	Failure to grant a continuanc See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(I		ely to result in a miscarriage of	justice.	
	The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).				
	Failure to grant a continuance would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).				
_	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, giver counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).				
	Failure to grant a continuance necessary for effective preparation of the second secon	ration, taking	sonably deny the defendant the into account the exercise of due	reasonable time diligence.	
<u> </u>	With the consent of the defendant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, the court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first paragraph and — based on the parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for extending the 30-day time period for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the exclusions set forth above). See Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).				
IT IS	SO ORDERED.		La gir ht	1610	
DATE	cd: 5/6/25		KANDIS A. WESTMORE United States Magistrate Jud	ge	
STIPU	JLATED: Attorney for Defen	dant	Assistant United States Attori	LL	

v. 1/10/2019